October 14th 2019

Rewiring the urban landscape for people and planetary health

3rd Healthy City Design 2019 International Congress, London

Audrey de Nazelle, Centre for Environmental Policy, Imperial College London







ditions > Obesity

overweight or

< Next >

Phýšičal Activity Plan



used to develop this guidance

9 The evidence

10 Gaps in the evidence

11 Membership of the Programme Development Group (PDG) and the NICE project team

12 About this guidance

ildren and young people nes

eight management services for children and young people style weight management programmes for children and young people nagement programmes: core components ad plan to meet individual needs

Recommendation 5 Encouraging adherence to lifestyle weight management programmes Recommendation 6 Raising awareness of lifestyle weight management programmes: commissioners and programme providers Recommendation 7 Raising awareness of lifestyle weight management programmes: health professionals, other professionals and voluntary organisations

Recommendation 8 Formal referrals to lifestyle weight management programmes

S

- Recommendation 9 Providing ongoing support: health professionals
- Recommendation 10 Providing ongoing support: lifestyle weight management programmes
- Recommendation 11 Lifestyle weight management programme staff: training

Recommendation 12 Lifestyle weight management programme staff: knowledge and skills

Recommendation 13 Training in how to make referrals to a lifestyle weight management programme

Recommendation 14 Supporting lifestyle weight management programme staff and those making programme referrals

Recommendation 15 Monitoring and evaluating programmes







JOURNEY TO A HEALTHIER YOU

Pollution from exhausts... you cannot see, feel, touch or smell it. However it can damage or even kill you. Only airbubbl cleans ALL the deadly gases and particles that enter your vehicle.





Evidence base: making the case for holistic thinking



air pollution

Shifts to walking, cycling and public transport

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 Avoided premature deaths

Rojas-Rueda et al. Environment International 49 (2012) 100-109



Source: Andrea Calderon, PhD work in progress

Imperial College London Behavioural vs Technological approaches in London





Woodcock et al. 2009 The Lancet , v3674, 9705: 1930-1943

Imperial College London Behavioural vs Technological approaches in London

















© Photos: Gil Garcetti



Transport mode use	Self-perceived health ^a	Perceived stress ^b
(days/month)	OR (CI 95%)	coef (CI 95%)
Car	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	-0.003 (-0.019, 0.013)
Motorbike	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)	0.006 (-0.018, 0.031)
Public transport	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	-0.002 (-0.016, 0.011)
E-bike	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	-0.025 (-0.052, 0.003)
Bicycle 🕬	1.07 (1.05, 1.08)**	-0.016 (-0.028, -0.004)*
Walking 🏌	1·02 (1·00, 1·03)*	-0.005 (-0.019, 0.010)

Avila-Palencia et al. (2018) The effects of transport mode use on self-perceived health, mental health, and social contact measures: A cross-sectional and longitudinal study. Environment International 120

Regression models assessing associations between the different transport modes and the health outcomes, adjusted for all the potential confounders. ^aMixed-effects logistic regression models. ^bLinear regression models. ^cLogistic regression models. All models were adjusted by age, sex, education, nationality, employment status, and city. Sample sizes: Self-perceived health (n=8218); Perceived stress (n=3241); Mental Health (n=3243); Vitality (n=3243); Loneliness (n=3247); Contact with friends/family (n=3247). *p-value<0.001.



Avila-Palencia et al. (2018) The effects of transport mode use on self-perceived health, mental health, and social contact measures: A cross-sectional and longitudinal study. Environment International 120

Transport mode use (days/ month)	Mental Health ^b coef (Cl 95%)	Vitality ^b coef (Cl 95%)
Car	0·03 (-0·05, 0·12)	-0·02 (-0·12, 0·07)
Motorbike	-0·06 (-0·19, 0·07)	-0·09 (-0·24, 0·06)
Bicycle	0·11 (0·05, 0·18)**	0·14 (0·07, 0·22)**
Walking 🕺	0·05 (-0·03, 0·13)	0·14 (0·05, 0·23)*

Table 3. Regression models assessing associations between the different transport modes and the health outcomes, adjusted for all the potential confounders

^aMixed-effects logistic regression models. ^bLinear regression models. ^cLogistic regression models. All models were adjusted by age, sex, education, nationality, employment status, and city. Sample sizes: Self-perceived health (n=8218); Perceived stress (n=3241); Mental Health (n=3243); Vitality (n=3243); Loneliness (n=3247); Contact with friends/family (n=3247). *p-value<0.001.



Dons et al. (2018) Transport mode choice and body mass index: Cross-sectional and longitudinal evidence from a Europeanwide study. Environment International 119

BMI difference per additional day of travel per month by mode



Dons et al. (2018) Transport mode choice and body mass index: Cross-sectional and longitudinal evidence from a European-wide study. Environment International 119



BMI and travel mode longitudinal analysis: Impact of change in cycling















Quantifying potential co-benefits of planning strategies...



Mueller et al. 2016 Urban and Transport Planning Related Exposures and Mortality: A Health Impact Assessment for Cities. EHP

In Summary: With holistic thinking we identify that urban design strategies can provide additional benefits compared to single-purpose strategies such as air pollution technological solution.

Impacts can be modelled to help make the case.

Co-benefits?

- Air pollution
- Climate change
- Greenspace
- Biodiversity
- Noise
- Physical activity
- Traffic injuries
- Diet
- Air flows
- Inequalities

• Etc

Trade-offs?

- Cooling agents
- Air pollution inhalation
- Traffic injuries
- Pollen
- Air flows
- Inequalities
- Etc.

City Planning and Health Publication Trends

Numbers of publications per year 1995-2017 Web of Science search terms: (City OR Urban) AND (Planning OR transport OR design OR built environment) AND Health



Mark Weisenschuppen Haeren Daren Zalas Integrating Human Health into Urban and Transport Planning Free Preview Integrating Human Health into Urban and Transport Planning

A Framework

© 2019

Editors: Nieuwenhuijsen, Mark, Khries, Haneen (Eds.)

Chapter 31 Barriers and Enablers of Integrating Health Evidence into Transport and Urban Planning and Decision Making



Rosie Riley and Audrey de Nazelle

- Evidence → holistic and co-created
- Institutional and legislative changes → collaborative and holistic thinking
- Political will → public and stakeholder engagement





Audrey de Nazelle anazelle@imperial.ac.uk



Audrey de Nazelle anazelle@imperial.ac.uk

